

## **Academic Intervention Services**

The Rochester City School District provides Academic Intervention Services (AIS) to students in Grades K-8 who score below the State designated performance level on State assessments and/or who are at risk of not achieving the State learning standards as measured utilizing a variety of district determined assessments.

Academic Intervention Services are designed to help eligible students achieve the NYS learning standards in English language arts and mathematics. These services may include:

- Whole, small group, solo or primary instruction that supplements the general curriculum (regular classroom instruction); and/or
- Student support services needed to address barriers to improved academic performance.

The intensity of AIS may vary; it is designed to respond to student needs as indicated through State and/or district formal or informal assessments.

At the July 2016 meeting of the Board of Regents, the Board voted to amend Commissioner's Regulations § 100.2 regarding the methodology by which school districts identify students in grades 3-8 to receive Academic Intervention Services (AIS) (<u>http://www.regents.nysed.gov/common/regents/files/716brca7.pdf</u>). These changes became effective on July 27, 2016. They are as follows:

For the 2016-17 school year, districts shall identify students to receive AIS through a two-step process. First, all students performing below the median scale score between a level 2/partially proficient and a Level 3/proficient on a grade 3-8 English language arts (ELA) or mathematics state assessment shall be considered for AIS. Upon identification of a student for consideration for AIS, districts shall then use a districtdeveloped procedure, to be applied uniformly at each grade level, for determining which students shall receive AIS. After the district considers a student's scores on multiple measures of student performance, the district determines whether the student is required to receive AIS. Information contained in this document include the locally determined eligibility and exit criteria as well as a "decision tree" process that will be used to determine students in need of AIS services.

These tables show the scale score ranges and median scale score between levels 2 and 3 for the ELA and mathematics assessments in grades 3 to 8:

Median Scale Score between Level 2 and Level 3						
					Median Scale	
					Score	
					between	
					Level 2 and	
Grade	NYS Level 1	NYS Level 2	NYS Level 3	NYS Level 4	Level 3	
3	177-290	291-319	320-357	358-414	305	
4	172-286	287-319	320-342	343-410	303	
5	112-288	289-319	320-345	346-415	304	
6	128-282	283-319	320-337	338-419	301	
7	147-286	287-317	318-346	347-413	302	
8	130-283	284-315	316-342	343-395	300	

## Grades 3-8 ELA Scale Score Ranges by Performance Level and Median Scale Score between Level 2 and Level 3



## Grades 3-8 Mathematics Scale Score Ranges by Performance Level and Median Scale Score between Level 2 and Level 3

					Median Scale
					Score
					between
					Level 2 and
Grade	NYS Level 1	NYS Level 2	NYS Level 3	NYS Level 4	Level 3
3	137-284	285-313	314-339	340-401	299
4	143-282	283-313	314-340	341-405	298
5	153-293	294-318	319-345	346-416	306
6	132-283	284-317	318-339	340-423	301
7	150-292	293-321	322-347	348-409	307
8	132-286	287-321	322-348	349-407	304

These tables show the district-developed eligibility and exit criteria, to be applied uniformly at each grade level, for determining which students qualify for AIS in grades K to 8. Buildings will then use the "decision trees" that follow as the overall process for determining students in need of Tier 2 and Tier 3 intervention.

GRADE	ASSESSMENTS USED TO DETERMINE AIS ELIGIBILITY		ENTRY CRITERIA	EXIT CRITERIA
<b>K</b> General Education		Kindergarten screening: - vision, hearing, motor, language	Failing to meet standards	Meets District standards
	First Semester	Brigance Early Childhood Screen: - cognitive, language, motor, literacy, math	Failing to meet standards	Meets District standards
	Second Semester	Report Card	Score of a 2 or 1 in applicable areas	Meets District standards
		NWEA assessment	Below grade level proficiency	Proficiency
K SWD	same as above	same as above	same as above	same as above
K LEP/ELL	same as above	same as above	same as above	same as above
		LAS Test Native Language Part 154		

## Locally Determined Eligibility and Exit Criteria for Academic Intervention Services in Kindergarten



## Locally Determined Eligibility and Exit Criteria for Academic Intervention Services in Grades 1-2

GRADE	ASSES	SSMENTS USED TO	ENTRY CRITERIA	EXIT CRITERIA
		ETERMINE AIS		
		ELIGIBILITY Grade 1 Pre-Assessment	Less than 65%	Meets District standards
		(if applicable)	Less than 05%	Weets District standards
	First	NWEA assessment	Below grade level proficiency	Proficiency
1	Semester	Previous Grade Report Card	Score of a 2 or 1 in applicable areas	Meets District standards
		Kindergarten Post- Assessment	Less than 65%	Meets District standards
		NWEA assessment	Below grade level proficiency	Proficiency
	Second Semester	First Semester Grade 1 Report Card	Score of a 2 or 1 in applicable areas	Meets District standards
	First Semester	Grade 2 Pre-Assessment (if applicable)	Less than 65%	Meets District standards
		NWEA assessment	Below grade level proficiency	Proficiency
2		Previous Grade Report Card	Score of a 2 or 1 in applicable areas	Meets District standards
		Grade 1 Post- Assessment	Less than 65%	Meets District standards
	Second Semester	NWEA assessment	Below grade level proficiency	Proficiency
		First Semester Grade 2 Report Card	Score of a 2 or 1 in applicable areas	Meets District standards
1-2 SWD	same as above	same as above	same as above	same as above
1-2 LEP/EL L	same as above	same as above	same as above	same as above
		LAS Test Native Language Part 154		



**Locally Determined Eligibility and Exit Criteria for Academic Intervention Services in Grades 3-8** Students who score below the median scale score between level 2 and 3 (see shaded column in tables above) are identified as eligible for AIS. The RCSD must then apply local measures to determine which students shall receive AIS. These locally determined measures are outlined below. Buildings will then use the "decision trees" that follow as the overall process for determining students in need of Tier 2 and Tier 3 intervention.

GRADE	D	SSMENTS USED TO ETERMINE AIS ELIGIBILITY	ENTRY CRITERIA	EXIT CRITERIA
		Grade 3 Pre-assessment	Less than 65%	Meets District standards
		NWEA assessment	Below grade level proficiency	Proficiency
	First Semester	Previous Grade Report Card	Score of a 2 or 1 in applicable areas	Meets District standards
3		Second Grade Post- Assessment	Less than 65%	Meets District standards
		NWEA assessment	Below grade level proficiency	Proficiency
	Second Semester	First Semester Grade 3 Report Card	Score of a 2 or 1 in applicable areas	Meets District standards
4 through 8	First Semester	NYS ELA or Math Exam Score from previous grade level	Below the median scale score between a level 2/partially proficient and a Level 3/proficient	Proficiency
		Grade Level Pre- Assessment	Less than 65%	Meets District standards
		NWEA assessment	Below grade level proficiency	Proficiency
		Previous Grade Report Card	Score of a 2 or 1 in applicable areas	Meets District standards
	Second Semester	NWEA assessment	Below grade level proficiency	Proficiency
		First Semester Grade Level Report Card	Score of a 2 or 1 in applicable areas	Meets District standards
3-8 SWD	same as above	same as above	same as above	same as above
3-8	same as above	same as above	same as above	same as above
LEP/ELL		LAS Test Native Language Part 154		

# Appendix E: Decision Trees

### **Tier 2 Decision Tree**

(To be completed by student's teacher and grade level team prior to referral to the Rtl Problem Solving Team)

Student Name:	Grade:		
Teacher:	Date of Review:		
Core literacy instruction has been implemented with fi $\Box \ge 80\%$ of student needs are met by core i	-	□ Yes	🗆 No
Differentiated instruction has been provided in a small group within core literacy instruction <ul> <li>Documentation is attached</li> </ul>			
Student has been present for 90% of instructional days	s: Present out of total days	🗆 Yes	🗆 No
Parent/Guardian has been notified (attach information	n: conferences, phone calls, letters, etc.)	Yes	🗆 No
Student has passed vision and hearing screenings (inclu	ude dates below):		
Vision Screen:PassFail Date:	Hearing Screen:PassFail Date:	□ Yes	□ No
Student has not met their projected growth on the NWEA	A MAP/MPG assessment	🗆 Yes	🗆 No
Report card data shows that the student has scored a level 1 or 2 in Math and/or ELA (elementary) or a D or F (secondary) on their report card.         Enter the grade the student received for all quarters that apply:         Quarter 1: Math ELA Quarter 2: Math ELA         Quarter 3: Math ELA Quarter 4: Math ELA			
( <u>Grades K-3 Only</u> ) Performance based assessment task for percentage score)	score is less than 65% (see eDoctrina report	🗆 Yes	🗆 No
( <u>Grades 3-8 Only</u> ) The student has scored a Level 1 or I Math (please check the subject area below): ELA Math (See NYS Grade 3-8 cut scores	Level 2 on the NYS 3-8 Exams in ELA and or s in <b>Section 2</b> of the Rtl Guidance Document)	🗆 Yes	🗆 No
(Grades 9-12 Only)       Student has received a 65% or lower of English Common Core Regents Global & Geography Algebra I Regents Living Environment Regents RCT Writing RCT Science RCT Global	Regents Algebra II Regents	□ Yes	🗆 No
Please list additional assessment data that indicates the Assessment Name Date 	Score	□ Yes	□ No

\*\* If the team answered "Yes" to all of the above questions, the student should be referred to the Rtl Problem Solving Team for possible Tier 2 intervention placement. If the team answered "No" to any of the questions, the classroom teacher should address that area and then the team should complete a new Tier 2 Decision Tree.

Team members involved in completing the Tier 2 Decision Tree: (list name and relationship to the student below)

### **Tier 3 Decision Tree**

(To be completed at follow-up Rtl Problem Solving Team meeting prior to movement into Tier 3)

Student Name:	Grade:		
Teacher:	Date of Review:	_	
Tier 2 intervention(s) have occurred at least 2-3 days per core instruction (attach documentation)	week for 30 minutes in addition to $_{\Box}$ Y	es 🗆	No
Implementation integrity of the Tier 2 intervention progra	am has occurred with fidelity $\Box$ Y	es 🗆	No
Student has been present for at least 90% of intervention Present out of total days =		es 🗆	No
Tier 2 intervention(s) addressed the student's area(s) of n <ul> <li>Academic Intervention Services Plan attach</li> </ul>		es 🗆	No
Tier 2 intervention was appropriate and research-based Research based interventions are: Explicit Systematic Standardized Peer reviewed Reliable/valid Able to be replicated	□ Y	es 🗆	No
Progress monitoring has occurred for four to eight weeks Progress monitoring data/graphs attached	with weekly or bi-weekly data points $\hfill \Box$ Y	es 🗆	No
Gap analysis indicates that student's progress is not suffic current interventions	ient for making adequate growth with	es 🗆	No
Parent/Guardian has been notified □ Conference logs, phone call logs, RtI letters	, etc. attached	es 🗆	No
** If the team answered "Yes" to all of the above questions,	the student should be moved to Tier III. If the Int	erventi	on team

answered "No" to any of the questions, that area should be addressed prior to the movement into Tier III.

### Team members involved in completing this decision tree (list name and relationship to the student):